EXHIBIT C

LEWIS COSBY, ET AL. vs KPMG, LLP Lewis F. Cosby, III on 03/26/2019

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE KNOXVILLE DIVISION
3	
4	
5	LEWIS COSBY, KENNETH R. MARTIN,) as beneficiary of the Kenneth Ray)
6	Martin Roth IRA, and MARTIN WEAKLEY) on behalf of themselves and all)
7	other similarly situated,)
8	PLAINTIFFS,)
9	VS.) NO. 3:16-CV-00121
10	KPMG, LLP,
11	DEFENDANT.)
12	
13	
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LEWIS F. COSBY III
16	MARCH 26, 2019
17	
18	
19	
20	PEGGY F. MCCRORY, LCR #532 Registered Professional Reporter
21	Knoxville, TN 37901
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	7.	The decree of the latter of the section of the sect
1	A	It is no plaintiff situation. It's a
2	hearing in front of the	ne Federal Communication Commission. And
3	so applications are for	iled and it is the the FCC determines
4	who's the most qualif	ied. So there's hearings and then there's
5	administrative law jud	dge.
6	Q	Did you obtain the license?
7	А	We did.
8	Q	Okay. Did you testify at the hearing?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Were there any other proceedings in
11	connection with the ap	oplication for that television license?
12	A	We had depositions.
13	Q	So there were depositions in a private
14	setting like this and	then there was also a public hearing?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	When was that?
17	A	It was 1981 through 1988.
18	Q	Aside from that matter, have you been
19	involved in any other	litigation other than this lawsuit?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	What what else were you involved in?
22	А	I was expert witness in a Southern
23	Industrial Banking Con	rporation bankruptcy proceeding and
24	testified.	
25	Q	I'm sorry. You did testify?

		•/
1	Q	Several hours?
2	A	Oh, yeah.
3	Q	More than five hours?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Did you spend more than a day
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	reviewing work papers?
8	А	Not the work papers.
9	Q	Oh, you're talking about documents other
10	than work papers.	
11	А	Well, you haven't produced many work
12	papers. Mostly they	re e-mail chains and sign-offs.
13	Q	So why why don't you tell me, what
14	kinds of documents ha	ave you reviewed from the KPMG production in
15	this case?	
16	А	There were documents produced under the
17	four-year request.	
18	Q	Okay. So you're talking about documents
19	that were received fr	com a government agency in response to a
20	Freedom of Information	on act request that your lawyers put in.
21	А	Uh-huh.
22	Q	Have you reviewed documents produced by
23	KPMG in this litigati	on?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. So what from those materials have

1	you reviewed?	
2	А	The I think you produced documents in
3	mid February.	
4	Q	They're they're probably even more than
5	one production	
6	А	Oh, yeah. February, and then there was
7	one in March.	
8	Q	Okay. And have you reviewed all of them?
9	А	Almost all of them, I think so.
10	Q	So how how much time in total have you
11	spent reviewing KPMG'	s documents?
12	А	I'd say 80 to a hundred hours.
13	Q	Okay.
14	А	That was all in February and March of this
15	year.	
16	Q	Tell me what you did to prepare for your
17	deposition today.	
18	А	Met with Mr. Ball and Laura yesterday.
19	Q	How long did you meet?
20	А	Three or four hours.
21	Q	Did you review any documents? Just yes or
22	no.	
23	А	Let's see documents per se, I don't
24	think so.	
25	Q	Okay. And is that the only meeting you

-			
	1	A	By doing the things I'm doing now. I've
	2	reviewed pleadings.	I've participated in litigation decisions.
	3	I've monitored counse	el. I will attend hearings. And I'm here
	4	for a deposition. Ar	nd will testify if necessary.
	5	Q	How do you plan to actively monitor your
	6	counsel in this litig	gation?
	7	А	I bug them.
	8		MR. BALL: I promise you.
	9		MS. POSNER: So do I.
	10	BY MR. BALLARD:	
	11	Q	You're in frequent contact.
	12	A	I am.
	13	Q	You read the pleadings in the case?
	14	А	I do.
	15	Q	Do you read all of the files in the case?
	16	А	Yes.
	17	Q	Okay. Did you read the motion to
	18	substitute parties?	
	19	А	Yes.
	20	Q	Did you read the opposition to or the
	21	response to the motion	on to substitute parties?
	22	А	I think one of them was under seal, wasn't
	23	it?	
	24	Q	Yes. Did you read that?
	25	A	I couldn't read it.

- 1 calculated the market cap. And I compared that to the price
- 2 times the number of shares. And that was, I thought, a good
- 3 investment.
- 5 market cap and -- so the price mattered to you.
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q Because if it had been priced at \$40 a
- 8 share, based on the analysis you did, that would not have been a
- 9 good buy.
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 O So it -- it -- you were paying attention
- 12 to the price.
- 13 A It all -- the price always matters, yeah.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A Okay. I didn't --
- 16 Q Just checking.
- 17 A Okay. If I've given you that indication,
- 18 that's not right.
- 19 Q No. Earlier I was asking about the nature
- 20 of a market order --
- 21 A Yeah. But you were asking if it went to
- 22 \$20, you know, I know that's hypothetical, but that's not
- 23 realistic. And the -- that wasn't going to happen. But it --
- 24 but if it traded at \$4 and I put a market price in and it went
- 25 to \$4, I've bought it at \$4 a share.

1	securities.	
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	I walk in and hand you this. Would you
4	read it?	
5	A	Oh, yeah.
6	Q	You would have read it because you would
7	want to know, right?	
8	A	I would have liked to have read it, yeah.
9	Q	Okay. Thank you.
10	A	Gosh.
11	Q	Now, if you had read it before you pushed
12	the button on your co	omputer to purchase 500 shares, would you
13	have gone ahead and p	bushed that button?
14	А	Yes.
15		MS. POSNER: Objection.
16	BY MR. BALLARD:	
17	Q	Why do you say that?
18	А	KPMG. They had audited this thing four
19	years later. KPMG ha	s looked at every one of these things.
20	They had to. So they	're the auditor. They have all the inside
21	information. They lo	ook at everything. That's my experience.
22	And that's what I rel	ied on.
23	Q	So then why read this if you're if
24	if all you care about	is KPMG, why would you read anything? Why
25	would you read the 10	-K? Why would you read the 10-Q? Why look

		<u>. </u>
1	А	I'd like to see the work papers.
2	Q	Why do you say we haven't produced work
3	papers?	
4	A	You haven't.
5	Q	Are you sure about that?
6	А	Uh-huh.
7	Q	Okay. Well
8	A	You've you've produced sign-offs of
9	audit programs, but y	ou know how big the work papers should be?
10	They should be very b	pig.
11	Q	How many documents do you think we have
12	produced?	
13	А	A hundred and forty thousand pages.
14	Q	Okay. And you think there are no work
15	papers in there.	
16	А	Very few. Mostly e-mail chains and 20 and
17	30 copies of the same	e e-mail.
18	Q	Okay. So you're going to reserve judgment
19	until you see the wor	k papers on whether it was a good audit
20	А	This I'm not I'm not an accounting
21	expert. I'm doing th	ais as a plaintiff. I want to make sure
22	I wanted to make sure	e that I'm doing the right thing for the
23	class, okay? I do.	And if I felt like we were wrong, I
24	wouldn't be here.	
25	Q	So when you reviewed the work papers, if

1	Q	Are you being compensated in any way for
2	your participation in	this case?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Have you been promised any compensation in
5	the event this lawsui	t is successful?
6	A	No. My share of the proceeds from the
7	loss.	
8	Q	Like any other class member.
9	A	That's right.
10	Q	What are you seeking to achieve through
11	this lawsuit?	
12	A	I'm seeking as such compensation that our
13	attorneys are success	ful in litigating, settling or negotiating
14	for all class members	of common and preferred shareholders.
15	Q	Do you have an engagement agreement with
16	Mr. Ball's firm?	
17	A	No.
18	Q	Do you have an engagement agreement with
19	Cohen Milstein?	
20	A	I don't think so.
21	Q	Do any attorneys from either Mr. Ball's
22	firm or Cohen Milstein	n monitor your investments for securities
23	litigation purposes?	
24	A	Say again.
25	Q	Does anyone from either of those firms

1	Q	It's on page two, yes.
2	А	Okay.
3	Q	In the last sentence of paragraph two
4	there's a statement,	"Unbeknownst to the investing public, KPMG
5	clean audit opinions	lacked any reasonable basis and were not
6	conducted in accordan	nce with GAAS, such that they amounted to no
7	audits at all."	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	You see that. What is your basis, if any,
10	for alleging that KPN	MG's audits amounted to no audits at all?
11	А	The oil and gas assets are so material to
12	Miller. And the fact	t that they did not audit those numbers
13	properly, that it is	so significant and the statements were so
14	overstated, that it a	amounted to no audit at all.
15	Q	Anything else?
16	А	That's it. Talking about KPMG.
17	Q	In paragraph four of the second amended
18	complaint, in the fir	rst sentence there's a statement, "The
19	Miller Energy house	of cards finally began to collapse in
20	December 2013 when it	t started to become clear that the Alaska
21	assets were worth now	where near what KPMG and Miller Energy said
22	they were in large pa	art because of the assumptions about how
23	much it would cost M:	iller Energy to actually extract
24	hydrocarbons from the	ose Alaska assets were massively and
25	fraudulently understa	ated." Do you see that?

1	and gas accounting?	
2	А	No.
3	Q	Earlier today Mr. Ballard asked you
4	whether your investme	ent in Miller Energy was a large investment
5	and you said no. Do	you recall that testimony?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Did you consider your investment in Miller
8	Energy to be an impor	rtant investment?
9	А	It is.
10	Q	Why is that?
11	А	It was a significant loss proportionate to
12	the investment. And	that bothered me.
13	Q	Why did it bother you?
14	А	Well, because that was significant loss in
15	percentage versus wha	at the investment was.
16	Q	When you first invested in Miller Energy
17	you talked about the	reasons for investing at that time with
18	Mr. Ballard. At the	time did you think Miller Energy was a
19	risky investment?	
20	А	Not extremely risky, no.
21	Q	Did you why didn't you think it was
22	extremely risky?	
23	А	It was listed on the New York Stock
24	Exchange. It was a	company that had substantial assets, equity.
25	And was audited by KI	PMG.
1		